UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

JAE Y. HONG, PLLC, and PUYALLUP ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL SURGERY, LLC. individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs.

v.

TRANSPORTATION INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

JURY DEMAND

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiffs, JAE Y. HONG, PLLC, AND PUYALLUP ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL SURGERY, LLC, on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class and Washington State subclasses (collectively, the "Class Members"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Transportation Insurance Company ("Transportation" or "Defendant") and allege as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

> 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

22

24

Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts in Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiffs and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion of the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the state of Washington.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiffs' business is located in Puyallup, Pierce County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Tacoma Division because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in Pierce County.

III. **PARTIES**

5. Plaintiffs, Jae Y. Hong, PLLC, and Puyallup Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, LLC, own and operate an oral and maxillofacial surgical dental business located at 4202 10th Street SE, Puyallup, Washington 98374.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 2

- 6. Defendant Transportation Insurance Company is an insurance carrier duly organized and existing under the laws the State of Illinois, with a principal place of business located at 151 N. Franklin, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 7. Defendant Transportation is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in all 50 states. Defendant conducts business within Washington and these states by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Plaintiffs.

IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 8. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiffs and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- 9. Defendant Transportation issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiffs, including Commercial Property Coverage, with a Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form and related endorsements, insuring Plaintiffs' property and business practice and other coverages, with effective dates of December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2020.
- 10. Plaintiffs' business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiffs and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of oral and maxillofacial surgical services and other related business activities.
- 11. Defendant Transportation promises to pay Plaintiffs for "direct physical loss of or damage to" to covered property.
- 12. The Policy includes coverage for risks of both damage to and loss of covered property.
- 13. Defendant Transportation's insurance policy issued to Plaintiffs includes Business Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage and Civil Authority Coverage.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 3

- 14. Plaintiffs paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 15. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 16. In light of this pandemic, on February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-5, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the state of Washington as the result of COVID-19.
- 17. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.
- 18. On March 19, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-24, "Restrictions on Non-Urgent Medical Procedures." The proclamation provides, in part:

WHEREAS, the health care personal protective equipment supply chain in Washington State has been severely disrupted by the significant increased use of such equipment worldwide, such that there are now critical shortages of this equipment for health care workers. To curtail the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington State and to protect our health care workers as they provide health care services, it is necessary to immediately prohibit all hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, and dental, orthodontic, and endodontic offices in Washington State from providing health care services, procedures and surgeries that require personal protective equipment, which if delayed, are not anticipated to cause harm to the patient within the next three months[.]

- 19. By order of Governor Inslee, oral and maxillofacial surgical businesses, including Plaintiffs', were prohibited from providing services but for urgent and emergency procedures.
 - 20. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiffs' business premises.
- 21. Plaintiffs' property sustained direct physical loss and/or damage related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.

- 22. Plaintiffs' property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the Transportation policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
 - 23. Plaintiffs' property cannot be used for its intended purposes.
- 24. As a result of the above, Plaintiffs have experienced and will experience loss covered by the Transportation policy or policies.
- 25. After Plaintiffs' business was shut down in March 2020, Plaintiffs sought coverage for their loss. By letter dated April 20, 2020, Transportation denied coverage for the loss.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Transportation has denied or will deny all similar claims for coverage.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. This matter is brought by Plaintiffs on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
 - 28. The Classes that Plaintiffs seeks to represent are defined at this time as:
 - A. Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Transportation.
 - B. Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises

related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Transportation.

- C. Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- D. Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- E. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Transportation.
- F. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with

 Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

 covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

 other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by

 Transportation.

- G. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- I. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Transportation.
- J. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Transportation.
- K. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 7

 Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

United States issued a Transportation policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

- L. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Transportation.
- N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a suspension of their business and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Transportation.
- O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States issued a Transportation policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders

7 8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

- P. Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Transportation policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 29. Excluded from the Classes are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiffs reserves the right to amend the above-described Class definitions based on information obtained in discovery including Defendant's internal records presently unavailable to Plaintiffs.
- 30. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 31. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiffs are informed and believes that the proposed Class contains hundreds of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.
- 32. Commonality and Predominance: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class;
 - В. Whether Transportation acted in a manner common to the class and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

- C. Whether Business Income Coverage in Transportation's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- D. Whether Extended Business Income Coverage in Transportation's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- E. Whether Extra Expense Coverage in Transportation's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss at the covered premises relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- F. Whether Civil Authority Coverage in Transportation's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- G. Whether Transportation has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs and the Class
 Members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs and the Class

 Members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 33. **Typicality**: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 10 **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**

26

classes. Plaintiffs and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Defendant. Plaintiffs' claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.

- 34. **Adequacy**: Plaintiffs will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiffs nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.
- 35. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or Varying Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiffs seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all Class Members. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 36. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiffs and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 37. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 11 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count One—Declaratory Judgment

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- 38. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 39. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 40. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.
- 41. Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiffs and Class Members' losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.
- 42. Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgment declaring that Transportation is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

Count Two—Breach of Contract

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

- 43. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 44. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.
- 45. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiffs and the class paid premiums to Transportation in exchange for Transportation's promise to pay Plaintiffs and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
 - 46. Plaintiffs have paid their insurance premiums.
- 47. Plaintiffs submitted a claim to Transportation for its covered loss, and Transportation denied coverage.
- 48. On information and belief, Transportation has denied coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
 - 49. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
 - 50. Plaintiffs are harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Transportation.

VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiffs' losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the Plaintiffs' business related to COVID-19 and/or COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 13

Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

1	orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.	
2	2.	A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully
3	paying all such losses.	
4	3.	Damages.
5	4.	Class action status under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.
6	5.	Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
7 8	6.	Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
9	7.	Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.
10	, ,	VIII. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
11	Plaint	iffs demand a jury trial on all claims so triable.
12	DATED this 9th day of June, 2020.	
13	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.	
14		By: <u>s/ Amy Williams Derry</u>
15		By: <u>s/Lynn L. Sarko</u> By: <u>s/Ian S. Birk</u>
16		By: <u>s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio</u> By: <u>s/ Irene M. Hecht</u> By: <u>g/ Mayroon Falsoli</u>
17 18		By: <u>s/ Maureen Falecki</u> By: <u>s/ Nathan Nanfelt</u> Amy Williams Derry, WSBA #28711
19		Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569 Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
20		Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576 Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
21		Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569 Nathan L. Nanfelt, WSBA #45273
22		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101
23		Telephone: (206) 623-1900 Fax: (206) 623-3384 Email: awilliam-derry@kellerrohrback.com
24		Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com
25		Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com
26		Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com Email: nnanfelt@kellerrrohrback.com

By: s/ Alison Chase (Pro hac applic. to be filed)
Alison Chase, CA Bar #226976 801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com Telephone: (805) 456-1496 Fax: (805) 456-1497 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 4827-5392-1469, v. 2

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 15

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384